IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) 8:12CR381)
vs.) DETENTION ORDER
NATHANIEL CHARLES BIGFIRE,	
Defendant.)
A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearin Reform Act on November 30, 2012, detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 314	g pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail the Court orders the above-named defendant 42(e) and (i).
conditions will reasonably assu X By clear and convincing eviden	
which was contained in the Pretrial S X (1) Nature and circumstance: X (a) The crime: Dome Country in violation of five years impris X (b) The offense is a cr (c) The offense involve	estic assault by a habitual offender in Indian of 18 U.S.C. § 117 carries a maximum sentence
X (3) The history and character (a) General Factors: The defeneral factors The defeneral factors The defeneral factors The defeneral factors The defeneral factors: The defeneral facto	idant appears to have a mental condition which the whether the defendant will appear. dant has no family ties in the area. dant has had no steady employment. dant has no substantial financial resources. dant is not a long time resident of the community. dant does not have any residential ties. uct of the defendant: dant has a history relating to drug abuse. dant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. dant has a significant prior criminal record. Indant has a prior record of failure to appear at eedings.

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

		(c) Other Factors:
		The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to
		deportation.
		The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
		The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:
X	(4)	The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows: the nature of the charges in the Indictment and the defendant's criminal history.
V	(5)	Dabuttable Ducasumentians
<u>X</u>	(5)	In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. §
	V	3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
	<u> X</u>	(a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
		assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
		of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
		X (1) A crime of violence; or
		(2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
		imprisonment or death; or
		(3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum
		penalty of 10 years or more; or
		(4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two
		or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
		above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for
		one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above
		which is less than five years old and which was
		committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
		(b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
		assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
		of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe:
		(1) That the defendant has committed a controlled
		substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
		10 years or more.
		(2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 1
		U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and
		in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of
		violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment
		if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous
		weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

- Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
 - The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: November 30, 2012. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge